

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patcht and Trademark Office Address: CCOMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1436 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,108	01/16/2001	Alexander Medvinsky	018926006400	8249
43471	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORPORATION DBA THE CONNECTED			COLIN, CARL G	
	UTIONS BUSINESS OF IAMENT DRIVE	MOTOROLA, INC.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HORSHAM			2136	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Á							
/-	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/765,108	MEDVINSKY, ALEXANDER					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carl Colin	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliar with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mus	Appeal. To avoid aba davit, or other eviden nce with 37 CFR 41.3	ce, which places 31; or (3) a				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this Ade event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	of the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sib) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three namy earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee tatutory period for reply originally set in the	. The appropriate exten he final Office action; or	sion fee under 37 (2) as set forth in				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of tl	hs of the date of he appeal. Since				
3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO <sup>-</sup> ow);	TE below);					
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendm	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by see note below.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Applicant has amended claims 1, 2, 6, 13, 17, 19, and 20 to further limit the claimed invention. For instance, Independent claims 1 and 19 now recite means for generating are capable for generating a second key stream "when a component used to transmit the Real Time Protocol voice packets changes during the communication session". Independent claims 13 and 17 have been amended to add generating second key stream... in response to a request to change communication parameters "during a communication session". The added limitation above raises new issues that would require further consideration and /or search. Applicant argues that Klinger does not generate a second key when the system switches communication parameters. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Klingler discloses generating a second key based on the following: whether an encryption switch is on, based on control data, synchronization counter, and resynchronization (see for example, pages 7-8 paragraphs 96-101; see also Final Office Action). With respect to Applicant's remarks about the priority date of the references, as disclosed in last Office action, the scope of the invention of Klinger is supported in the provisional application. Applicant needs to specify which citation, examiner is relying upon that is not supported by the provisional action. For at least the reasons stated above and in the office actions the proposed amendment will not be entered as they raise new issues that would require further consideration and /or search.